In $85 \%$ dioxane we suggest that interconversion of ion pairs is rate limiting ( $k_{2}$, Scheme I) in the presence of perchlorate ion. Thus, we might formulate the transition state for this process as Figure 1.

However, in the absence of perchlorate salts or in the presence of common-ion salts, we imagine the rate-limiting step to involve capture of solvent-separated ion pair ( $k_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\text {III }}$, Scheme I). This is shown schematically in Figure 2.

Assuming that the fractionation factor for chloride ion is $(0.72)^{1 / 4}=0.921$ per fully developed lone pair, we estimate $\phi_{1}^{*}$ for $k_{2}$ rate limiting as in eq 2 . Here, $X$ represents the degree

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}^{*}=0.921^{3} 0.921^{X} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of separation of chloride ion from the carbonium ion in the transition state.

Assuming $\phi^{R}$ is unity and the transition state resembles ${ }^{19}$ the tight ion pair ( $X=0$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} / k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1 / 0.921^{3}=1.28 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The agreement between our estimate and the experimental results is gratifying on the one hand and somewhat surprising on the other. For example, this fractionation factor refers to a wholly aqueous solvent although the experimental results refer to $85 \%$ dioxane $-15 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The similarity of the SIE for 1 and 2 suggest that the SIE has its origin primarily in changes of the chloride ion fractionation factor between ground and transition state.

For the transition state resembling nucleophilic capture of the solvent-separated ion pair, we estimate the transition-state fractionation factor from eq 4 where $X$ is the amount of oxygen-carbon

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{2}^{*}=\phi_{\mathrm{Cl}^{4}} \phi_{\mathrm{OL}^{+}}{ }^{2 X}=0.921^{4} \phi_{\mathrm{OL}^{2}}{ }^{2 X} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(19) G. S. Hammond, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 334 (1955).
bond making in the transition state.
The average SIE for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in $75 \%$ and $85 \%$ dioxane-water in the presence and absence of chloride ion salts is $1.47 \pm 0.03$. Assuming this "exploded" ${ }^{20}$ transition state is ion-pair like, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} / k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=\left(1 / 0.921^{4}\right) 1 / 0.69^{2 X}  \tag{5}\\
k_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} / k_{\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1 / 0.921^{4}=1.39 \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Treating $X$ as an adjustable parameter furnishes a substantially identical transition state involving very little carbon-oxygen bond making, i.e., $X \approx 0.1$.

## Experimental Section

1 was made available from a previous investigation. ${ }^{2} \quad 2$ was made available from Aldrich Chemical Co. and distilled prior to use.
Reaction rates were obtained by monitoring the appearance of $p$ methoxy benzaldehyde ( 275 nm ) or benzophenone ( 250 nm ) for 1 and 2, respectively, in the thermostated cell compartment of a Gilford Model 2400 spectrophotometer. The rate constant was obtained by a nonlinear least-squares regression analysis of the absorbance-time data. The standard deviation of each individual rate constant was $<0.5 \%$. The standard deviation of the isotope effect is based on two-five runs which involved two (one) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ runs and one (two) $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ runs determined concurrently and is listed following the isotope effect in the tables, i.e., 1.302 $(9)=1.302 \pm 0.009$.
Reaction rates were initiated by adding $1-2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a dioxane solution of 1 or 2 to a solution of a given salt in $75 \%$ or $85 \%$ dioxane- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ made by adding 25 (15) mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ to $75(85) \mathrm{mL}$ of purified dioxane.
All salts were the highest purity commercially available samples.
Acknowledgment. We thank the National Institues of Health for support of this work (Grant No. GM-21933).
(20) W. P. Jencks, Acc. Chem. Res., 13, 161 (1980).
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#### Abstract

We report the total synthesis of isopavine (1) and a key intermediate for the synthesis of analogues of antidepressant agents such as amitriptyline in only four steps, each in excellent overall yields. The double ortho Friedel-Crafts alkylation of homoveratraldehyde (11), promoted by trimethylsilyl iodide, afforded an excellent yield of the dibenzocyclooctadienyl ether 12. This cyclic ether, although stable to acid, could be readily opened with $n$-butylithium to produce the dibenzocyclooctatrienol 13. From this alcohol (available from 11 in $92 \%$ yield), either of the synthetic targets could be prepared in two steps in nearly 60\% yield. Several rearrangements of dibenzocyclooctatrienyl systems to substituted methyldibenzocycloheptatrienyl systems are reported in high yields. The mechanisms of these processes are discussed in detail. In addition, a novel oxidative cleavage of the exo-methylenedibenzocycloheptatriene (23) is described and its likely mechanism discussed. Finally, several approaches for the total synthesis of the pavine alkaloids are also presented which indicate the peculiarities of the chemistry of these dibenzocycloalkadiene and -triene systems.


Recently in a study of the addition reactions of trimethylsilyl iodide ${ }^{2}$ with aldehydes, we reported a new method for the simple preparation of dibenzocyclooctadienes in good yields. ${ }^{3}$ This

[^0]involved a double ortho Friedel-Crafts alkylation of phenylacetaldehyde promoted by trimethylsilyl iodide. Since a large number of natural alkaloids possess a dibenzocyclooctadiene or dibenzocycloheptadiene ring system, e.g., isopavine (1), ${ }^{4}$ thalisopavine (2), ${ }^{5}$ pavine (3), ${ }^{4}$ and argemonine (4), ${ }^{6}$ we thought this

[^1]
new construction of dibenzocycloalkadienes should be applicable for certain natural product total synthesis. In addition, there are many very active antidepressant agents containing a tricyclic aromatic structure, the most well-known being amitriptyline $5 .{ }^{7}$ Many derivatives of this basic structure have shown potent and varied biological activity. ${ }^{8}$ However, compounds with significant modifications in both aromatic rings are rare. Therefore, we began a program aimed at the facile construction of tricyclic aromatic alkaloids, e.g., isopavine (1) and pavine (3), and of synthetic intermediates for the preparation of substituted amitriptyline analogues. We now report the successful achievement of two of these goals, namely, the preparation of isopavine (1) and the ketone 24 from homoveratraldehyde (11), each in four steps in overall yield of $53 \%$ and $55 \%$, respectively.

Background. Isopavine (1) was first prepared by Guthrie et al. ${ }^{9}$ by the action of concentrated sulfuric acid on the acetal 6 ,


7
although they misassigned the structure of the product. Later the groups of Waldmann ${ }^{10}$ and Battersby ${ }^{11}$ repeated this prepa-
(5) Kupchan, S. M.; Yoshitake, A. J. Org. Chem. 1969, 34, 1062.
(6) (a) Soine, T. O.; Et. al. J. Am. Pharm. Assoc., Sci. Ed. 1960, 49, 187; Ibid. 1951, 40, 19; Ibid. 1962, 51, 1196. (b) Stermitz, F. R.; Lwo, S.-Y.; Kallos, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1551. (c) Martell, M. J.; Soine, T. O.; Kier, L. B. Ibid. 1963, 85, 1022. See also ref 4.
(7) Hoffsommer, R. D.; Taub, D.; Wendler, N. L. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 4134; Ibid. 1963, 28, 1751; J. Med. Chem. 1965, 8, 555 and references therein.
(8) (a) Lacefield, W. B. J. Med. Chem. 1971, 14, 82. (b) Monkovic, I.; Perron, Y. G.; Martel, R.; Simpson, W. J.; Gylys, J. A. Ibid. 1973, 16, 403. (c) Coyne, W. E.; Cusic, J. W. Ibid. 1974, 17, 72. (d) Eichstadt, K. E.; Reepmeyer, J. C.; Cook, R. B.; Riley, P. G.; Davis, D. P.; Wiley, R. A. Ibid. 1976, 19, 47. (e) Grisar, J. M.; Claxton, G. P.; Wiech, N. L.; Lucas, R. W.; MacKenzie, R. D.; Goldstein, S. Ibid. 1973, 16, 885. (f) Lippman, W.; Pugsley, T.; Merker, J. Life Sci. 1974, 16, 213. (g) Boissier, J.-R.; Dumont, C.; Ratouis, R. Ther. Ggw. 1971, 26, 459. (h) Roszkowski, A. P.; Schuler, M. E.; Marx, M.; Edwards, J. A. Experientia 1975, 31, 960 . (i) Atkinson, J.; Ladinsky, H. Br. J. Pharmacol. 1972, 45, 519 . (j) Lipshitz, W. L.; Hadidian, Z.; Kerpcsar, A. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1943, 79, 97. (k) Funcke, A. B. H.; Zandberg, P. Arzneim.-Forsch. 1970, 20, 1896. (1) Mo-lina-Negro, P.; Illingworth, R. A. Union Med. Can. 1971, 100, 1947. (m) For recent work on the efficacy of substituted analogues, see: Randall, W. C.; Anderson, P. S.; Gresson, E. L.; Hunt, C. A.; Lyon, T. F.; Rittle, K. E.; Remy, D. C.; Springer, J. P.; Hirschfield, J. M.; Hoogsteen, K.; Williams, M.; Risley, E. A.; Totaro, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 1979, 22, 1222 and references therein. (n) Share, N. N.; McFarlane, C. S. Neuropharmacology 1975, 14, 675.
(9) Guthrie, D. A.; Frank, A. W.; Purves, C. B. Can. J. Chem. 1955, 33, 729.
(10) Waldmann, E.; Chwala, C. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1957, 609, 125.
(11) Battersby, A. R.; Yeowell, D. A. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 1988.
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ration and correctly assigned the structure, with Battersby proposing the name isopavine for compound 1 . Several syntheses of the isopavine alkaloids have been accomplished ${ }^{12,13}$ Most of these have followed the original route to the base, ${ }^{12}$ although a few have utilized other schemes. ${ }^{13}$ However, until now no synthetic approaches have utilized a functionalized dibenzocyclooctadiene as a precursor to the isopavine alkaloids.
Compound 3 was first prepared by the tin/hydrochloric acid reduction of papaverine (7) by Goldschmiedt in $1886^{14}$ and later by Pyman, ${ }^{15}$ who named it pavine. The structure of pavine remained ambiguous until 1955 when Battersby again proved it to be as shown in 3 , ${ }^{16}$ a structure first suggested along with 1 by Schöpf, ${ }^{17}$ who could not distinguish between the two. The syntheses of other pavine alkaloids such as argemonine 4 have involved, almost without exception, a similar acid-catalyzed cyclization of the appropriate 1-benzyl-1,2-dihydroisoquinoline. ${ }^{18}$ There are no reports of a synthetic approach to the pavine alkaloids which utilizes a dimerizative cyclization to prepare the dibenzocyclooctadiene ring system.
The very potent antidepressant amitriptyline 5 is generally prepared by several different routes ${ }^{7}$ from dibenzocycloheptadienone (8), which is available in three steps from phthalic anhydride and phenylacetic acid. ${ }^{19}$ Other amitriptyline analogues such as the unsaturated derivative cyclobenzaprine (10) are prepared from the dibenzocycloheptatrienone $9,{ }^{20}$ which is accessible from 8 in $42 \%$ yield via two steps (bromination-dehydrobromination). ${ }^{19}$ Many derivatives of 5 and 10 have been
(12) (a) Dyke, S. F.; Ellis, A. C. Tetrahedron 1971, 27, 3803; Ibid. 1972, 28, 3999. (b) Dyke, S. F.; Ellis, A. C.; Kinsman, R. G.; White, A. W. C. Ibid. 1974, 30, 1193. (c) Hoshino, O.; Taga, M.; Umezawa, B. Heterocycles 1973, 1, 223.
(13) (a) Kametani, T.; Ogasawara, K. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1973, 21, 893. (b) Elliott, Jr., I. W. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1162.
(14) Goldschmiedt, G. Monatsch. Chem. 1886, 7, 485; ibid. 1898, 19, 321. (15) (a) Pyman, F. L. J. Chem. Soc. 1909, 95, 1610. (b) Pyman, F. L.; Reynolds, W. C. Ibid. 1910, 97, 1320.
(16) Battersby, A. R.; Binks, R. J. Chem. Soc. 1955, 2888.
(17) Schöpf, C. Experientia 1949, 5, 201.
(18) (a) Stermitz, F. R.; Williams, D. K. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 1761. (b) Coombs, R. M.; Falck, J. R.; Williams, D. K.; Stermitz, F. R. Ibid. 1973, 3701 and earlier papers by this group. (c) Walsh, D. A.; Lyle, R. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 3849. (d) An alternative to this general synthetic scheme was the conversion of tetrahydroberberine into the pavine alkaloids, dimethylmunitagine: Ito, K.; Furukawa, H.; Iida, T.; Lee, K.-H.; Soine, T. O. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 1037.
(19) Cope, A. C.; Fenton, S. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1951, 73, 1673.
(20) (a) Winthrop, S. O.; Davis, M. A.; Myers, G. S.; Gavin, J. G.; Thomas, R.; Barker, R. J. Org. Chem. 1962, 27, 230. (b) Villiani, F. J.; Ellis, C. A.; Teichman, C.; Bigos, C. J. Med. Pharm. Chem. 1962, 5, 373.
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prepared, a large number of which have interesting biological activities. ${ }^{8}$ However, there are no synthetic approaches to these compounds in the literature which utilize a ring contraction of a functionalized dibenzocyclooctadiene. Therefore we decided to attempt to prepare derivatives of all three of these groups of compounds by beginning with a double ortho Friedel-Crafts alkylation.

## Results and Discussion

Substituted Dibenzocyclooctadienes. Our proposed approach (Scheme I) to these tricyclic aromatic compounds began with the double ortho Friedel-Crafts alkylation of (3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)acetaldehyde (homoveratraldehyde) (11). Although we had originally developed trimethylsilyl iodide for the demethylation of aliphatic and aromatic methyl ethers, ${ }^{21}$ we reasoned that this dealkylative cleavage would cause no problems in the reaction of 11 with trimethylsilyl iodide for two reasons. First, although catechol dimethyl ethers showed a slight rate enhancement for cleavage when compared to simple anisoles, the dealkylation of aromatic methyl ethers with trimethylsilyl iodide was a relatively slow process (e.g., anisole requires 21 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for demethylation). ${ }^{21}$ Secondly, since the rate of the Friedel-Crafts alkylation is very dependent on the electron density of the aromatic ring, the very electron-rich character of 11, due to the two elec-tron-donating methoxy groups, should cause the Friedel-Crafts reaction to be very rapid. Indeed, this proved to be the case. Addition of trimethylsilyl iodide to a solution of 11 in methylene chloride at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by warming to room temperature and quenching with 1 M aqueous sodium thiosulfate afforded a quantitative yield of the crystalline ether 12. It should be pointed out that this dibenzylic ether is remarkably stable to acid. It is completely stable both to the trimethylsilyl iodide used for the reaction and to the 2 equiv of hydrogen iodide generated in the double Friedel-Crafts alkylations. This stability is probably due to two factors: the lack of efficient overlap of the carbon-oxygen bond with the $\pi$ system of the aromatic rings and the fact that backside attack on the protonated ether in an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction is very hindered by the proximate aromatic carbon-hydrogen bond. The ether 12 proved stable to all attempts at opening under acidic conditions, including the following: $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ then base; $\mathrm{HClO}_{4} ; \mathrm{HOAc}, \mathrm{NaOAc} ; \mathrm{HOAc}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} ; \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH} ; \mathrm{HN}_{3}, \mathrm{PhH}$. However, it was clear from inspection of molecular models that one of the vicinal benzylic carbon-hydrogen bonds is almost precisely anti-coplanar to the carbon-oxygen bond of the bridging ether, thereby implying the possibility of an E2 elimination. This was effected by treating the ether 12 with 2 equiv of $n$-butyllithium in dry tetrahydrofuran (THF) for 4 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to produce the dibenzocyclooctatrienol

[^2]Scheme II


13 in $97.5 \%$ yield. The use of excess base (e.g., 2 equiv) in this E2 elimination afforded higher yields of the alcohol, presumably due to the destruction of some of the base by deprotonation of the aromatic protons ortho to the methoxy groups. This alcohol 13 was also prepared by a two-step degradation of argemonine (4) via argemonine methine methiodide (14) by the method of Battersby. ${ }^{16,22}$ In order to prove that a simple E2 reaction had occurred and as a model for a possible internal aminomercuration reaction to generate compounds in the pavine series, the alcohol 13 was treated with mercuric acetate in THF followed by basic sodium borohydride to furnish the ether 12, the product of an internal oxymercuration reaction, in $\sim 60 \%$ yield. That the alcohol 13 was indeed secondary and benzylic was confirmed when Jones oxidation produced the ketone 15 , the IR of which exhibited a carbonyl absorption at $1650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, typical of a dibenzocyclooctatrienone. ${ }^{23}$ The ketone 15 was reconverted into the alcohol 13 by sodium borohydride reduction in order to guarantee that no skeletal rearrangement had occurred under the acidic conditions of the Jones oxidation. As a further confirmation of its structure and so that a potentially useful synthetic intermediate could be produced, the alcohol 13 was dehydrated by thermolysis of the corresponding $N-p$-tosylcarbamate formed from 13 and $p$-tosyl isocyanate, to furnish the dibenzocyclooctatetraene 16 in $>90 \%$ yield. This compound had been previously prepared in poor yield by acid-catalyzed dehydration of the alcohol 13. ${ }^{16}$ In contrast to the report of Battersby, ${ }^{16}$ we were able to prepare the tetraene 16 directly from argemonine methine methiodide (14) by a normal Hoffmann elimination in essentially quantitative yield. The tetraenes prepared by the two different routes were identical.

Amitriptyline Analogues. In order to produce intermediates for the preparation of substituted amitriptyline analogues, it was necessary to rearrange the dibenzocyclooctatriene system to a dibenzocycloheptatriene system. This was easily affected by treatment of the alcohol 13 with strong nucleophilic acids (e.g., $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HBr}$, and HI ) to give the halides $\mathbf{1 7 a} \mathrm{a}$ in $91 \%, 91 \%$, and $97 \%$ yield, respectively. ${ }^{24}$ This ring contraction presumably occurs by the mechanism shown in Scheme II. Loss of water from the protonated alcohol would give the 8 -membered carbonium ion 18 which is in equilibrium with the cyclopropyl carbinyl ion 19 (and all of the numerous resonance contributors possible for this compound). Kinetic trapping of the carbonium ion would probably give the 8 -membered product 20 . However, if the group $\mathbf{X}$ is a good leaving group, this product 20 could reionize to the mixture of carbonium ions 18 and 19 which could then be converted into the halomethyl 7 -membered product 17. Compound 17 should

[^3]
## Scheme III


25

be the thermodynamic product since the nonaromatic olefin can achieve a much greater degree of coplanarity and therefore overlap more with the two aromatic rings in the dibenzocycloheptatriene product 17 than in the much more tublike dibenzocyclooctatriene product 20. As reported later herein, one can obtain just the kinetic 8 -membered product 20 by using an acid whose counterion is a good nucleophile but a relatively poor leaving group. There are alternative explanations for this dichotomy of reaction pathways, but this seems the most reasonable one. By using a catalytic amount of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid in acetic acid, one can obtain the rearranged (acetoxymethyl)dibenzocycloheptatriene 21 which was not purified but directly hydrolyzed in base to the alcohol 22, thus available from 13 in $89 \%$ yield. ${ }^{25}$

The exo-methylenedibenzocycloheptatriene 23 was judged to be an excellent intermediate for the production of amitriptyline analogues. This compound ${ }^{24 \mathrm{a}}$ could be produced in excellent yield from any of the purified halides $17 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$, but a more convenient

and higher yielding pathway involved the direct production of 23 from the alcohol 13 by way of the chloride 17 a but without isolation of any intermediate. Treatment of the alcohol 13 with ethanolic HCl followed by reaction with $10 \%$ ethanolic KOH produced the exo-methylene compound 23 in $95 \%$ yield. ${ }^{26}$ Since amitriptyline (5), cyclobenzaprine (10), and their many analogues are all prepared from the dibenzocycloheptadienone 8 and trienone 9 , all that remained to be accomplished was the conversion of the exo-methylene compound 23 into the cycloheptatrienone 24. While several methods for this transformation are theoretically possible, we decided to attempt to effect a simple oxidation of the more nucleophilic exocyclic double bond with peracetic acid to

[^4]produce a diol monoacetate or other similar derivative, which could then be oxidatively cleaved to yield the desired ketone. However, when the exo-methylene compound was treated with peracetic acid, the products were predominantly starting material and the desired ketone 24. By using an excess of $40 \%$ peracetic acid containing sodium acetate, we were able to isolate a $60-65 \%$ yield of the desired ketone 24. Presumably this oxidative cleavage proceeds by the mechanism indicated in Scheme III, namely, an initial epoxidation to give the epoxide 25 . This reactive compound opens to the (hydroxymethyl)dibenzocycloheptatrienyl (dibenzotropylium) cation 26 in acid. Trapping of this cation 26 by the very good nucleophile peracetate would give the hydroxymethyl perester 27, which by a Baeyer-Villiger type fragmentation would produce the ketone 24 . This process is probably mechanistically similar to the oxidative cleavage of bicyclic enol ethers with peracid to furnish keto lactones reported by Borowitz. ${ }^{27}$ This simple production of the dibenzocycloheptatrienone 24 from homoveratraldehyde (11) in four steps in $55 \%$ overall yield ends our synthetic work on this system. The tetradesmethoxy analogue of 24, dibenzotropone (9), has been transformed (catalytic hydrogenation and subsequent oxidation) into the dibenzocycloheptadienone $8,{ }^{28}$ which has been converted into amitriptyline (5) and its many analogues. ${ }^{8}$ Therefore the conversion of the ketone 24 into substituted amitriptyline analogues should be quite straightforward.

Isopavine. As described above, our work on the synthesis of substituted amitriptyline analogues had provided easy access to several functionalized methyltetramethoxydibenzocycloheptatrienes. We initially attempted to use these readily available compounds for the preparation of the structurally quite similar isopavine alkaloids, e.g., isopavine (1) itself.

One route to 1 centered about the aminomethyl compound 28, which we planned to convert to isopavine by an internal amino-mercuration-demercuration route. We hoped to prepare this amine 28 by a reductive amination ${ }^{29}$ of the aldehyde 29 , seemingly easily accessible from the alcohol 22 which was in hand. However, several attempts (e.g., PCC, Sarett, and Moffatt oxidation) at the simple oxidation of $\mathbf{2 2}$ to the desired aldehyde 29 failed completely for undetermined reasons. The double hydro-boration-oxidation of the exo-methylene compound 23 to give the keto aldehyde 30 (a potential precursor of 1 via a double reductive amination process) also gave unsatisfactory results. Consequently these approaches were abandoned.


[^5]Scheme IV




It seemed likely that if the azidomethyl compound 31 could be prepared, any of several reductive methods could convert it to the desired amine 28. Since the (halomethyl)dibenzocycloheptatrienes $17 a-c$ were very readily available, the simple substitution of azide for halide was attempted. Under the usual conditions ( $\mathrm{KN}_{3}, 18$-crown- 6 ether, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 24 h ), the chloride 17a gave only recovered starting material, while the more reactive bromide afforded the exo-methylene compound 23 in $90 \%$ yield under very similar conditions. Presumably, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reactions of these primary halides are very slow due to significant steric hindrance of backside attack by the rest of the molecule, especially, the proximate aromatic carbon-hydrogen bonds.


At this point an easy route to isopavine unexpectedly presented itself. When the cyclooctatrienol 13 was treated with hydrazoic acid in benzene, instead of the expected (azidomethyl)cycloheptatriene (31), the unrearranged azidocyclooctatriene 32 was obtained in $88 \%$ crude yield. As mentioned earlier and shown in Scheme II, the 8 -membered product, e.g., 32, is presumably the kinetic product of trapping of the cyclooctatrienyl cation 18, whereas the 7 -membered product is presumably the thermodynamic product. When the nucleophile is also a good leaving group, e.g., $X=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}$, etc., only the 7 -membered product is obtained, while for a nucleophile that is a poorer leaving group, e.g., $\mathrm{X}=$ $\mathrm{N}_{3}$, only the 8-membered product is obtained. Since our attempts at recrystallization caused decomposition of this azide, it was purified by column chromatography and used as soon as possible after purification. Thermolysis of the purified azide 32, or better, preparation of the crude azide 32 from the alcohol 13 followed by direct thermolysis (mesitylene, $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36 \mathrm{~h}$ ) afforded the rearranged imine 33 in $63 \%$ yield based on the amount of alcohol 13. This imine 33, dehydroisopavine, is presumably formed by the mechanism shown in Scheme IV. Thermal loss of nitrogen from the azide would produce the nitrene 34 which may insert into the double bond to give the aziridine 35. Examination of molecular models indicates that this aziridine is very strained and would very likely not be stable at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; therefore, if it is ever
formed it would probably open very rapidly to the two possible zwitterions 36 and 37 . It is more likely that these zwitterions 36 and 37 are formed either directly from the azide by a concerted attack of the olefin on the azide with concomitant loss of nitrogen or from the nitrene. The zwitterion 36, having the bicyclo[3.3.1] system, would appear to be more stable than the alternative one 37, which has the bicyclo[4.2.1] system..$^{30}$ However, at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it is likely that both are produced. The zwitterions are in equilibrium with the cyclopropyl carbinyl cations, 38 and 39 , respectively, in which the $\pi$ system of the nonadjacent aromatic ring donates its electron density to stabilize the positive charge. Both of these cyclopropyl carbinyl cations are perfectly set up for an internal cancellation of charge by donation of the negative charge on nitrogen to form an imine with concurrent cleavage of the opposite cyclopropane bond to regenerate the aromaticity of the aromatic ring. From either zwitterion 38 or 39 , this process generates the same product, namely, dehydroisopavine (33). This rearrangement process is remarkably similar in all its pertinent features to the rearrangement of the alcohol 13 into the halomethyl compounds 17 (Scheme II).

It is interesting that dehydroisopavine (33) had probably been prepared earlier although its structure had not been assigned. Schlittler and Müller ${ }^{31}$ isolated a compound in $10 \%$ yield by treatment of the imine 40 with $75 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Since the melting

points of their material and our dehydroisopavine (33) match, we assume that they are the same compound. The synthesis of isopavine was completed by reduction with methanolic sodium borohydride to produce isopavine (1) in $92 \%$ yield. Our synthetic isopavine exhibited spectral properties (NMR, IR, mass spectroscopy) in accord with its structure. More importantly the melting point of both isopavine and the corresponding acetamide derivative matched those in the literature. ${ }^{9.11}$ Thus isopavine (1) has been synthesized from homoveratraldehyde (11) in four steps in over $53 \%$ overall yield. The application of this scheme to the synthesis of other isopavine alkaloids should be straightforward.

Approach to Pavines. We have also made several attempts to prepare pavine derivatives by this general approach, but thus far all have been unsuccessful. Our initial approach centered about the internal aminomercuration reaction of the aminodibenzocyclooctatriene 41. It appeared that a simple and well-precedented route to this amine would be the reductive amination of the ketone 15. However under all attempts-e.g., $\mathrm{HCONH}_{2}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$; and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{NaCNBH} 3$ under various conditions-we were unable to prepare the amine in good yield, mainly obtaining recovered starting ketone. Evidently, the imine or iminium salt is very slow to form, presumably due to the steric hindrance of attack on the tublike ketone. Nor were we able to produce a good yield of the desired amine by hydride reduction of the corresponding oxime 42a or the methoxime 42b, each produced from the ketone 15 in $80 \%$ and $99 \%$ yield, respectively, or the corresponding imine 43, produced from the oxime 42a in good to excellent yield. Again an entire array of hydride reducing agents were used for each of these proposed transformations- $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{OCOCF}_{3}$, DIBAL, $\mathrm{AlH}_{3}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{HSiCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{Zn}$, $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2} / \mathrm{HCl}$-all without the desired effect. It is known that

[^6]hydride reduction of analogous less-substituted oximes also gives poor yields of the corresponding amines. ${ }^{32}$ We also tried unsuccessfully to catalytically hydrogenate the oxime 42a to the corresponding amine 41; under all conditions tried, either only starting material was obtained or the olefinic double bond was reduced in preference to the oxime.

The conversion of the alcohol 13 into the 8 -membered azide 32 provided an easy route to 41. Hydride reduction of 32 gave the amine 41 in $94 \%$ yield. With this key compound in hand, we attempted the ultimate conversion of 41 into pavine 3 by an internal aminomercuration-demercuration. However, treatment of the amine 41 with several different mercuric salts $\left[\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{Hg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{HgCl}_{2}\right]$ followed by reduction (basic $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ ) under several sets of conditions completely failed to produce any amounts of pavine 3 or the other possible aminomercuration products. Similar results were obtained by treatment of the corresponding acetamide 44 (formed by acetylation of 41) with
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mercuric acetate or nitrate followed by reduction. All that appears to occur is the slow formation and precipitation of the aminemercuric salt complex ${ }^{33}$ with no involvement of the olefinic double bond. We have no compelling explanation for this difference in behavior between the alcohol 13 and the amine 41 or amide 44 vs. the internal heteromercuration process. Another similar route was also investigated. The tetraene 16 was treated with 2 equiv of mercuric acetate in the presence of aniline followed by excess basic sodium borohydride in an unsuccessful attempt to effect a double aminomercuration reaction. ${ }^{30}$ Only starting material was recovered.

An earlier, very simple approach was also investigated. Homoveratraldehyde (11) was converted into the aldoxime $45^{34}$ which was then treated with trimethylsilyl iodide. It was hoped that the oxime would add the silyl iodide to produce the $\alpha$-iodo hydroxylamine silyl ether $46^{35}$ and that this compound would then undergo the analogous dimerizative double ortho Friedel-Crafts alkylation to produce the $N$-(trimethylsilyloxy)pavine 47. However, the only product isolated from 45 upon treatment with

[^7]
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trimethylsilyl iodide was the corresponding nitrile. No evidence for any nitrogen-bridged products such as 47 was obtained. Other approaches of this sort (e.g., via the corresponding imine or imide) also were unsuccessful.
When the routes described above and several other attempts at the synthesis of pavine from the ether 12 failed, we decided to abandon this part of the project.

## Conclusion

We have accomplished a direct and high yielding synthesis of functionalized dibenzocyclooctadienes and have developed a route from these compounds to the dibenzocycloheptadiene and -triene systems found in the isopavine alkaloids and in the active medicinal agents such as amitriptyline and cyclobenzaprine. The approaches we have developed should be general enough to permit the production of many derivatives of these compounds. As a test of this generality, we have converted the parent system 48 (formed in
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$55 \%$ yield from phenylacetaldehyde) ${ }^{3}$ into the dibenzocyclooctatriene $49^{23}$ and thence into the (iodomethyl)dibenzocycloheptatriene 50 . The process described above should be quite useful for the synthesis of many compounds of these structural classes.

## Experimental Section

General Procedures. Melting points were taken on an Electrothermal melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra (IR) were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 137B or 710B spectrophotometer in chloroform solution. Proton NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker WP-200 spectrometer in deuterochloroform as solvent and are reported in parts per million downfield from internal tetramethylsilane. Carbon NMR spectra were measured on a Varian CFT-20 spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on an AEI MS-9 spectrometer. Ultraviolet spectra were recorded on a Beckman 25 spectrometer; all spectra were run in $95 \%$ ethanol solution. For column chromatography, Merck silica gel 60 ( $70-230$ mesh) was used as adsorbent. For preparative layer chromatography, Merck silica gel 60 PF-254 was used. Analyses were performed by Spang Microanalytical Laboratory, Ann Arbor, MI.
$2^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime \prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime \prime}$-Tetrame thoxy-2,3:6,7-dibenzo-9-oxabicyclo[3.3.1]nona-2,6-diene (12). To a solution of (3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)acetaldehyde (homoveratraldehyde) ( 11 ) ( $\mathbf{3} \mathrm{g}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry methylene chloride
( 100 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen was added slowly with vigorous stirring trimethylsilyl iodide ${ }^{2}(2.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 17 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and allowed to warm slowly to room temperature. The reaction was quenched promptly upon reaching room temperature by addition of 100 mL of 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. After the color of iodine had been discharged, the reaction mixture was extracted with $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and the combined organic extracts washed with 100 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give crude ether 12 ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, \sim 100 \%$ ).

The ether could be purified by recrystallization from MeOH to give the pure ether 12: mp $163-4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\delta 6.58(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $5.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.47(2 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=15.6,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$; IR $1500,1240,1110$, $1015,850 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $m / e$ ) $342\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 328,327,314,313$, $311,299,283,190,152,151$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 70.16 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.48. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 70.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.70$. This reaction was also carried out on a large scale. Beginning with 45.3 g of homoveratraldehyde, one isolated 36.7 g of the pure ether 12 after recrystallization from methanol $(85,2 \%)$.

3-Hydroxy- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime \prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocycloocta-1,5,7triene (13). To a solution of the ether $12(10 \mathrm{~g}, 29.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 300 mL ) at room temperature under nitrogen was added slowly $n$-butyllithium ( $24.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.44 \mathrm{M}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 4 h . The reaction was quenched by careful addition of water and extracted with $2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic phases were washed with 150 mL of brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give 9.8 g crude alcohol. This was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting first with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ to remove impurities and followed by EtOAc to give 9.75 g ( $28.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 97.5 \%$ ) of pure alcohol 13 as a glass: $\mathrm{mp} \simeq 90-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $\left.{ }^{16} \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{145-146}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{22}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 7.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.71$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.59(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.88$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.81(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.3(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 148.1$ ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 147.4 (s), 147.0 (s), 133.9 (s), 130.3 (2 C, d), 129.0 ( $2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{s}$ ), 126.9 (s), 112.7 (d), 112.2 (d), 111.8 (d), 111.6 (d), 73.8 (d), 55.6 ( 4 C, q), 42.3 (t); IR $3500,1600,1500,1240 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ ) $342\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 324\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 311,309,293 ; \mathrm{UV} \lambda_{\max } 290(\log \epsilon=4.0)$, 220 (4.48), $\lambda_{\min } 266$ (3.88) [lit. ${ }^{16} \lambda_{\max } 292.5(4.06), \lambda_{\min } 267$ (3.94), lit. ${ }^{24 \mathrm{a}}$ $\lambda_{\text {max }} 292$ (4.05), 220 (4.49), $\lambda_{\min } 267.5$ (3.97)].

Formation of $\mathbf{1 2}$ from $\mathbf{1 3}$ by Internal Oxymercuration. The alcohol 13 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 15 mL ), and mercuric acetate ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The mixture was stirred at reflux under nitrogen for 4 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, 1 mL of $10 \%$ aqueous NaOH was added, followed by 50 mg of sodium borohydride in 2 mL of $10 \%$ aqueous NaOH . Stirring was continued for 30 min at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with 20 mL of water and extracted with $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic extracts were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to leave 216.5 mg of crude product, the NMR of which indicated the presence of the ether 12.

Column chromatography of the crude product on silica gel and eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ gave a fraction containing 135 mg , which was shown by NMR to be very predominantly the ether 12. No attempts were made to purify the ether further or to optimize the reaction conditions.
$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-Tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocycloocta-1,5,7-trien-3-one (15). To a solution of the alcohol $13(1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone ( 50 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added slowly Jones reagent ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Stirring was continued at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min after the addition was complete and excess reagent consumed by addition of isopropyl alcohol. The mixture was diluted with 50 mL of water and extracted with $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl} 3$. The combined organic phases were washed with 50 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give 847.6 mg crude ketone 15 ( $2.49 \mathrm{mmol} ; 85.4 \%$ ).

The ketone could be recrystallized from MeOH to give pure ketone 15: mp 176-178 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\delta 7.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $6.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.96(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.94(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.91(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; IR $1650,1600,1500,1360$, $1250,1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ ): $340\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 325,309,298$, $281,170,165,136$; UV $\lambda_{\max } 259(\log \epsilon=4.54)$, inflection at 298. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 70.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.92$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 70.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.25$.

Formation of 13 from 15 by Reduction. A solution of sodium borohydride ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.294 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of ethanol was added to a solution of the ketone 15 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.295 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of ethanol and stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 2 h . The mixture was diluted with 10 mL of $10 \%$ aqueous NaOH and stirred at room temperature for 1 h . Extraction with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ gave a crude yield of 104.2 mg of alcohol 13 identical by NMR with that obtained earlier.
$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-Tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocyclooctatetraene (16). To a solution of the alcohol $13(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.292 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry diglyme ( 5 mL ) was added p-tosyl isocyanate ( $69 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 1 h of stirring at room temperature under nitrogen, the mixture was stirred at reflux
for 16 h , followed by evaporation of the solvent. Column chromatography of the residue on silica gel eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ gave 98.4 mg of semipure tetraene 16. NMR showed three singlets identical with those of tetraene 16 obtained by degradation, but the material was still orange and showed some contamination in the NMR. No further attempts were made to purify the product.

Preparation of 13 and 16 from Argemonine 4 via Argemonine Methine Methiodide (14). Argemonine methine methiodide (14) was prepared from argemonine 4 by using the method of Battersby. ${ }^{16}$

Tetraene 16. The methiodide 14 ( $477.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.93 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was suspended in 30 mL of water and stirred with $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature for 2 h ; after which it was filtered, and the filtrate was washed with 5 mL of water. Potassium hydroxide ( 35 g ) was added, and the mixture was heated at reflux for 5 h . After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with 30 mL of water and extracted with 150 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocyclooctatetraene ( 16 ) ( $306.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}, 100 \%$ ). This could be recrystallized from benzene to give pure tetraene 16: mp 184-185 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $159-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{16}$ ); NMR $\delta 6.63(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.54(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.84(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; IR $1600,1500,1460,1350,1245,1075,870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $m / e$ ) 324 (M'), 309, 293.

Alcohol 13. The methiodide $14(203.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in 18 mL of water and stirred with silver oxide ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature for 2 h ; after which it was filtered and stirred at reflux for 12 h . After being cooled to room temperature, this was diluted with 15 mL of water and extracted with 50 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the alcohol 13 ( 153.9 mg , $\sim 100 \%$ ) identical in every respect with that obtained synthetically.

3-(Chloromethyl)- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetrame thoxy-1,2:4,5-dibenzocyclohepta-1,4,6-triene (17a). A solution of the alcohol $13(1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at reflux under nitrogen for 2 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, it was diluted with 50 mL of water and extracted with 100 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic phase was washed with 50 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give the chloride 17a ( $951.1 \mathrm{mg}, 90.74 \%$ ). This could be recrystallized from glacial acetic acid to give pure chloride 17a: mp 220-222 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. 219-220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{24 \mathrm{a}}$ ); NMR $\delta 6.82(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $3.74(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR 1600, 1500, 1460, 1350, 1260, 1095, $870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $m / e$ ): $360\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 311\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$.

3-(Bromomethyl)-2', $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:4,5-dibenzocyclohepta-1,4,6-triene (17b). Treatment of the alcohol $13(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.292 \mathrm{mmol})$ with concentrated $\mathrm{HBr}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ under the same conditions as for the chloride gave the bromide 17 b ( $107.5 \mathrm{mg}, 90.9 \%$ ). This could be recrystallized from MeOH to give pure bromide 17b: mp $206-208^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; NMR $\delta 6.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.15$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.63(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3$ Hz ); IR $1600,1500,1460,1350,1260,1095,870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $m / e$ ) 406, $404\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 311\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}\right)$.

3-(Iodomethyl) $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:4,5-dibenzocyclohepta-1,4,6-triene (17c). Treatment of the alcohol $13(1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ with concentrated $\mathrm{HI}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ under the above conditions gave the iodide $17 \mathrm{c}(1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%)$. This could be recrystallized from EtOH to give pure iodide $17 \mathrm{c}: \mathrm{mp} 188-190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dec; NMR $\delta 6.83(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $6.77(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.49(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); IR 1600, 1500, 1470, 1360, 1260, 1095, 870 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy $(\mathrm{m} / e): 452\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 311\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}\right)$.

3-(Acetoxymethyl)- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:4,5-dibenzocyclo-hepta-1,4,6-triene (21). To a solution of the alcohol 13 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.46$ mmol ) in glacial acetic acid ( 10 mL ) was added p-toluenesulfonic acid ( 5 mg ), and the mixture was stirred at reflux under nitrogen for 14 h . It was allowed to cool to room temperature and then was poured into 50 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CHCl} 3$; the organic layer was then dried and evaporated. The dark brown residue was filtered through a short column of silica gel eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The crude acetate 21 thus obtained could be recrystallized from EtOH to give the pure acetate 21: mp $158.5-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\delta 6.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.26$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.93(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; IR 1740, 1610, 1520 , 1470, 1360, 1265, 1100, $870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy $(m / e): 384\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, $3 \mathrm{II}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OAc}\right)$.

3-(Hydroxymethyl)-2', $\mathbf{3}^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:4,5-dibenzocyclo-hepta-1,4,6-triene (22). The crude acetate 21 was taken up in MeOH $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , diluted with 50 mL of water, and extracted with $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic phases were dried and evaporated to give $443 \mathrm{mg}(1.295 \mathrm{mmol}, 88.7 \%)$ of crude alcohol 22 , containing some of the cyclooctatetraene 16 as the major impurity. This could be recrystallized from EtOH to give the pure alcohol 22: mp
${ }^{188-189}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\delta 6.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.81(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.03(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$, $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$; IR $3550(\mathrm{w}), 1610,1520,1470,1360$, $1265,1100,870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy $(m / e) 342\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 311\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ ); high resolution mass spectroscopy ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ ) 342.1445, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{5} 342.1467 ; 311.1289$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{4} 311.1283$.

3-Methylene- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:4,5-dibenzocyclohepta-$1,4,6$-triene (23). To a solution of the alcohol $13(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 h . The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and was diluted with 50 mL of water and extracted with $3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic extracts were washed with 25 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried, and evaporated. To the crude residue was added $10 \%$ ethanolic $\mathrm{KOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 4 h and then allowed to cool to room temperature. The mixture was diluted with 60 mL of water and extracted with $3 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined extracts were dried and evaporated to leave $450.8 \mathrm{~g}(1.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 95.3 \%)$ the crude alkene 23. This could be recrystallized from EtOH to give the pure alkene 23: mp $230-231^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{24 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{mp} 234-236{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); NMR $\delta 6.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.68(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), 5.23 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $3.96(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; IR $1600,1505,1460,1385$, $1340,1260,1240,1075,870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy $(m / e) 324\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, $311,309,293 ; \mathrm{UV} \lambda_{\max } 322(\log \epsilon=4.04), 246(4.66), \lambda_{\min } 290$ (3.82).
$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-Tetramethoxy-1,2:4,5-dibenzocyclohepta-1,4,6-trien-3-one (24). To a solution of the alkene 23 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.309 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methylene chloride ( 10 mL ) was added sodium acetate ( 25 mg ) and commercially available $40 \%$ peracetic acid in acetic acid $(0.37 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred under nitrogen at room temperature for 48 h . The mixture was diluted with 30 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed with 15 mL of water and 15 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give 117.1 mg of crude ketone 24. Sublimation at $165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 0.02 torr) gave 69 mg of pale yellow ketone 24 still showing a slight impurity in the NMR spectra.

Recrystallization of the sublimed ketone from MeOH gave the pure ketone 24: $\operatorname{mp} 220-221^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\delta 8.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.00(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.99$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $4.06(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.03(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; IR 1601 (sh), 1580, 1520, 1470, $1400,1280,1080 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $m / e$ ) $326\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) 311,255$, 240; UV $\lambda_{\text {max }} 290(\log \epsilon=4.79), 242(4.25), \lambda_{\min } 257(4.11)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 69.93 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.56$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 69.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.38$.

3-Azido- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocycloocta-1,5,7-triene (32). A solution of hydrazoic acid in benzene was prepared by adding benzene ( 36 mL ) to a paste of $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(3.9 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in warm water ( 3.6 mL ) and cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Concentrated sulfuric acid ( $1.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 30$ mmol) was added slowly to the vigorously stirred mixture which was cooled in an ice bath. After the addition was complete, the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The benzene solution of hydrazoic acid was then decanted into a round-bottom flask charged with the alcohol 13 (1 $\mathrm{g}, 2.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred at reflux under nitrogen for 1 h . After it was cooled to room temperature, the mixture was diluted with 50 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the crude azide $32(943.1 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$. This could be purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, to give the pure azide 32: mp $58^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$; NMR $\delta 6.78$ and $6.68(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ quartet, $J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.61(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=12.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.43(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$; IR $2100,1610,1515$, $1470,1250,870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ ) $367\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 339,324,312$.
$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-Tetramethoxy-2,3:8,9-dibenzo-6-aza-bicyclo[3.2.2]nona-2,6,8-triene (Dehydroisopavine) (33). The azide 32 was prepared in the usual manner from $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.292 \mathrm{mmol})$ of the alcohol 13 by treatment with hydrazoic acid in benzene. The crude azide obtained upon workup was taken up directly in mesitylene ( 10 mL ) and stirred at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 36 h . After this period, the mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, diluted with 10 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, and extracted with 2 $\times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ of dilute HCl . The aqueous layer was washed with 10 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and the pH adjusted to $>12$ with NaOH . This was extracted with $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, dried, and evaporated to leave $62.4 \mathrm{mg}(0.184$ mmol, $63.04 \%$ ) of crude imine 33 . The imine could be recrystallized from benzene/petroleum ether to give pure imine $33: \mathrm{mp} 218-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{mp} 222-223{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 8.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.89(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.4$, 3.4 Hz ) ${ }^{13}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 158$ (d), 138.6 (s), 138.4 (s), 138 (s), 137.1 (s), 119.9 (s), 119.8 (s), 117.8 (s), 117.1 (s), 105.2 (d), 101.6 (d), 99.3 (d), 98.2 (d), 51.7 (d), 46.3 (q), 29.8 (d), 22 (t); IR 1640, 1616, 1516, 1465, 1260, $1115 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $m / e$ ): $339\left(\mathbf{M}^{+}\right), 324,312(\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{HCN})$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 70.78 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.24$. Found: C , 70.40; H, 6.40 .

Isopavine (1). To a solution of the imine $33(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.295 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added sodium borohydride ( 10 mg ) and the mixture stirred at room temperature under nitrogen for 1 h . The mixture was quenched by addition of $10 \%$ aqueous NaOH , diluted with 10 mL of water, and extracted with $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}^{\text {of }} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was dried and evaporated to leave $93 \mathrm{mg}(0.273 \mathrm{mmol}, 92.45 \%)$ of isopavine (1). This was recrystallized from EtOH to give 81 mg of pure isopavine 1: $\mathrm{mp} 149-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{mp} 149-151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); NMR $\delta 6.77$ ( 1 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.53(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.90$, $3.42 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.87(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.9-3.0$ ( 5 H , complex multiplet), 1.70 ( 1 H , very br s ); IR $1615,1510,1470$, $1240,1110 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $m / e$ ) $341\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 340,312(\mathrm{M}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ).

Treatment of isopavine 1 with acetyl chloride in pyridine gave the acetamide, which was recrystallized from $\mathrm{EtOH} ; \mathrm{mp} 206-207{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{9}$ $203.5-204^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-Tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocycloocta-1,5,7-trien-3-one Oxime (42a). A solution of the ketone $15(847.6 \mathrm{mg}, 2.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ and hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $870 \mathrm{mg}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in pyridine ( 5 mL ) and absolute ethanol ( 5 mL ) was stirred under reflux for 2 h . This was cooled to room temperature, diluted with 100 mL of $10 \%$ aqueous HCl , and extracted with $3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic phases were washed with 100 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated to give the crude oxime 42a, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, eluting with EtOH to give the oxime 42a ( $663.7 \mathrm{mg}, 1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 79.6 \%$ ). This could be recrystallized from aqueous EtOH to give oxime 42a: mp 164-165 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\delta 7.31$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.03$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; 3.88(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ (the hydroxyl proton was not observed); IR 3500 (br), $1600,1500,1250,1045 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $m / e$ ) $355\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 339,338,337,327,324,322,312,169$.
$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, \mathbf{2}^{\prime \prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime \prime}$-Tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocycloocta-1,5,7-trien-3-one Oxime Methyl Ether (42b). A solution of the ketone 15 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74$ mmol ) and methoxylamine hydrochloride in pyridine ( 2 mL ) and absolute ethanol ( 2 mL ) was stirred under reflux for 2 h , after which time the solvents were removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ gave oxime methyl ether $\mathbf{4 2 b}$ ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.731 \mathrm{mmol}, 98.9 \%$ ): NMR $\delta 7.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.70$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.94$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.
$2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-Tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocycloocta-1,5,7-trien-3-imine (43). To a solution of the oxime 42 a ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ammonium acetate ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane ( 0.6 mL ) was added $1: 1$ (v:v) acetic acid in water ( 0.11 mL ), followed by aqueous $\mathrm{TiCl}_{3}(20 \%$ aqueous solution, 0.52 mL ). This was stirred at room temperature for 1 h , diluted with 20 mL of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and then extracted with $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the crude imine 43. The yield fluctuated from $\sim 60-100 \%$, due to difficulties in isolation from the $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ formed in the reaction; NMR $\delta 7.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.79(1 \mathrm{H}$, s), $6.70(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.91(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.89(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.82(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s})$. The imine proton was not observed.

3-Amino- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocycloocta-1,5,7-triene (41). To a solution of the freshly prepared azide $32(862.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.35$ mmol ) in dry THF ( 30 mL ) was added lithium aluminum hydride ( 134 $\mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and the mixture was stirred at reflux under nitrogen for 1 h . After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the reaction was quenched by careful addition of water followed by $10 \%$ aqueous NaOH and stirred at room temperature for 1 h . This was diluted with water and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to give the crude amine 41 ( 771.2 mg ). This was taken up in EtOH and precipitated as the HCl salt by addition of gaseous HCl to give $825 \mathrm{mg}(2.185 \mathrm{mmol}, 93.6 \%)$ of crude amine hydrochloride. The amine hydrochloride could be recrystallized from EtOH to give pure amine hydrochloride: mp $253-254^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{dec}$.

The amine 41 regenerated from the pure hydrochloride salt with aqueous NaOH showed the following spectral properties: NMR $\delta 6.84$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.74$ and $6.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{AB}$ quartet, $J=12.2), 6.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.60$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.86(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.54\left(2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, br s); IR $1610,1515,1470,1250,1090,870 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; mass spectroscopy ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ ) $341\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 339,326,324,309,293$.

3-Acetamido- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 3^{\prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocycloocta-1,5,7triene (44). Acetyl chloride ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the amine 41 ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.4$ mmol ) in THF ( 10 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , diluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, washed with dilute HCl and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and evaporated to give the crude amide 44. Recrystallization from benzene/petroleum ether gave $316.6 \mathrm{mg}(0.83$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ ) of amide 44: $\mathrm{mp} 111-112^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; mass spectroscopy ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ ) 383
$\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right), 324\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CONH}_{2}\right), 309,293$; high resolution mass spectroscopy ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ ) 383.1745, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5} 383.1733 ; 324.1365$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4} 324.1362$.
(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)acetaldoxime (45). This compound was prepared from the aldehyde via its bisulfite addition complex by the known method. ${ }^{34}$

Reaction of 45 with Trimethylsilyl Iodide. The oxime $45(97.5 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.5 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was treated with 1 equiv of trimethylilyl iodide at room temperature for 2 h . After workup and preparative TLC (solvent $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), 40 mg of the corresponding nitrile, (3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)acetonitrile, were isolated.

3-Hydroxy-1,2:5,6-dibenzocycloocta-1,5,7-triene (49). Treatment of the ether $48^{3}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with 2 equiv of $n$-butyllithium, under the identical conditions as described above for the preparation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ from 12, gave after preparative TLC (solvent $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) 83.5 mg of alcohol 49
(83.5\%): mp 119-120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{25} \mathrm{mp} 120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

3-(Iodomethyl)-1,2:4,5-dibenzocyclohepta-1,4,6-triene (50). Treatment of the alcohol $49(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.225 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ with concentrated $\mathrm{HI}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ under the conditions described above for preparation of 17 c from 13 gave 87.1 mg of crude iodide 50 . The iodide could be recrystallized from ethanol. Identity was established by comparison of the NMR with that of the tetramethoxy case: NMR $\delta 7.2-7.4$ ( 8 H , $\mathrm{m}), 6.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
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#### Abstract

The anthracycline antibiotics daunorubicin and adriamycin are important clinically useful drugs in the treatment of a number of human cancers. The structurally simplified synthetic analogues 4 -demethoxydaunorubicin and 4-demethoxyadriamycin show much clinical promise. The synthesis of the corresponding aglycone ( $\pm$ )-4-demethoxydaunomycinone from the inexpensive dye intermediate quinizarin, utilizing $o$-quinodimethane intermediates, is discussed.


The anthracycline antibiotics daunorubicin (1) and adriamycin (2) are of great current interest in view of their activity against various experimental tumors, as well as their clinical effectiveness in the treatment of many types of human cancer. ${ }^{1}$



The antineoplastic acitivity of these compounds can be improved by structural modification, as shown by the recent report that the

[^8]totally synthetic analogue 4-demethoxydaunorubicin (3) is 4-8 times more active than daunorubicin itself. ${ }^{2}$ Although several syntheses of the corresponding aglycone 4 -demethoxydaunomycinone (4) have been described, ${ }^{3}$ a simple and practical route for a larger scale preparation of 4 has yet to be devised. The work reported in this paper represents our initial efforts toward the attainment of this goal. ${ }^{4}$

## Results and Discussion

About 2 decades ago, studies in our laboratory, ${ }^{5,6}$ as well as those of Jensen ${ }^{7}$ and Alder, ${ }^{8}$ showed that unstable $o$-quinodimethane intermediates could be trapped by suitable dienophiles: these early observations have since formed the basis for a powerful new technique for the synthesis of a variety of natural products from benzocyclobutene precursors. ${ }^{9}$

Our present synthetic strategy has centered upon the concept of constructing ring $A$ of an anthracyclinone system by the
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